



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/964,681                               | 09/27/2001    | Ray M. Richardson    | INTL-0607-US (P11748)   | 2831            |
| 75                                       | 90 10/19/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| Timothy N. Trop                          |               |                      | NGUYEN, MIKE            |                 |
| TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.<br>SUITE 100     |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 8554 KATY FWY.<br>HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805 |               |                      | 2182                    |                 |
|  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 09/964,681   | RICHARDSON, RAY M.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Mike Nguyen  | 2182   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A   | ugust 2004.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | i3 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | caminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | <del>-</del>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/964,681 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Notices & Remarks

- 1. Applicant's Amendment 08/03/2004 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed. The following rejections now apply.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are pending for the examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yeivin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,473,808 B1).

As to claim 21, Yeivin teaches a system (see fig. 3) comprising:

a processor (see fig. 3 element 90); and

a storage coupled to said processor storing instructions (see fig. 3 element 130 col. 7 lines 5-14) that enable the processor to:

initiate a direct memory access (see col. 15 lines 17-55); and

successively transfer data (see col. 17 lines 16-37) from linked buffers in a first processor system (see figs 1, 3 old first memory bank1070 or first memory bank 70, old first processor 1090 or first processor 90) to linked buffers in a second processor system (see figs 1, 3

Art Unit: 2182

old second memory bank 11·10 or second memory bank 110, old second processor 1100 or second processor 100 and col. 3 line 33 to col. 4 line 24).

As to claim 22, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 21 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to successively transfer data from linked buffers arranged in linked list on the first processor system to buffers arranged in the linked list on a second processor system (see col. 17 lines 16-37 and col. 3 line 33 to col. 4 line 24).

As to claim 23, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 22 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to provide descriptors that indicate the status of each of said buffers (see col. 17 lines 31-37).

As to claim 24, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 23 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to provide flags that indicate whether a buffer is empty or full (see col. 17 lines 5-9).

As to claim 25, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 21 wherein said linked buffers are within a cellular telephone (see col. 1 lines 15-21 and col. 17 lines 16-37).

As to claim 26, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 25 wherein said processor is baseband processor, said system further including a multimedia processor (see ABSTRACT).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,681

Art Unit: 2182

As to claim 27, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 21 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to determine the status of a buffer to which data is to be transferred before transferring the data (see col. 7 lines 5-10 and col. 17 lines 5-9).

As to claim 28, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 27 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to determine if a buffer from which data is to be transferred is empty and if so, automatically fill the buffer with data (see col. 7 lines 5-10 and col. 17 lines 5-37).

As to claim 29, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 28 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to generate an interrupt when a buffer is empty and data is to be transferred from the buffer, intercept the interrupt, and automatically fill the buffer (see col. 7 lines 5-10 and col. 17 lines 5-37).

As to claim 30, Yeivin teaches the system of claim 29 wherein said storage stores instructions that enable the processor to determine whether a buffer that is to receive data is full and if the buffer is full, automatically generate an interrupt, intercept the interrupt, and automatically empty the buffer (see col. 7 lines 5-10 and col. 17 lines 5-37).

Claims 1-10 are directed to a method implementing the system as set forth in claims 21-30. Since Yeivin teaches the system as set forth in claims 21-30; therefore, he also teaches the method as set forth in claims 1-10.

Claims 11-20 are directed to an article implementing the system as set forth in claims 21-30. Since Yeivin teaches the system as set forth in claims 21-30; therefore, he also teaches the article as set forth in claims 11-20.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-4153. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Nguyen Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2182 10/15/2004 Page 6

FAHZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100